

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/727,072	12/02/2003		Andrew J. Ouderkirk	59416US002	4897		
32692	7590	09/15/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
3M INNOV	ATIVE I	PROPERTIES CO	QUARTERMAN, KEVIN J				
PO BOX 334 ST. PAUL,		13_3427	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
BI.TROE,	14114 3312	,5 5 12 /	2879	-			

DATE MAILED: 09/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/727,072	OUDERKIRK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin Quarterman	2879					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statue Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 i	December 2003						
_	_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meri							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-7 and 9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 December 2003</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	fare: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1104: 0805.	4)  Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6)  Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/727,072 Page 2

Art Unit: 2879

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference characters not mentioned in the description: Reference characters 84 of Fig. 9; 310 of Fig. 14; 512 of Fig. 16; 612 of Fig. 17; 810, 818, 820, and 824 of Fig. 19; 910, 912, 920, and 924 of Fig. 20; 1010, 1020, and 1024 of Fig. 21. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225

Application/Control Number: 10/727,072

Art Unit: 2879

USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

- 3. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 4. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 5. Claims 1-7 and 9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3 of copending Application Pub. No. 2004/0145895 ('895) in view of McNulty US 6,686,676 ('676), as shown in Table 1.
- 6. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2879

Table 1

Instant Application	Pub. No. '895	McNulty '676	Discussion of Differences	
Claim 1	Claim 1	Fig. 5; col. 4, In. 54-61	Claim 1 of the instant application includes an optically transparent body, which is not cited in the claims of Pub. '895. Figure 5 of McNulty teaches an optically transparent body (30, 32).	
Claim 2	Claim 2	Same as above	Same as above	
Claim 3	Claim 3	Same as above	Same as above	
Claim 4	Claim 1	Fig. 5	Claim 4 of the instant application cites the phosphor material uniformly disposed on or in the optically transparent body, which is not cited in the claims of Pub. '895.  Figure 5 of McNulty shows the phosphor material (26) uniformly disposed on or in the optically transparent body (30, 32).	
Claim 5	Claim 1	Fig. 5	Claim 5 of the instant application cites the phosphor material non-uniformly disposed on or in the optically transparent body, which is not cited in the claims of Pub. '895.  Figure 5 of McNulty shows the phosphor material (26) disposed on or in the optically transparent body (30, 32).	
Claim 6	Claim 1	Fig. 5	Claim 6 of the instant application cites the phosphor material disposed within the optically transparent body adjacent the LED and the optically transparent body having a second region spaced away from the LED where phosphor is not present, which are not cited in the claims of Pub. '895.  Figure 5 of McNulty shows phosphor material (26) disposed within the optically transparent body (30) adjacent the LED (20) and the optically transparent body having a second region (32) spaced away from the LED where phosphor is not present	
Claim 7	Claim 1	Fig. 5	Claim 7 of the instant application cites the phosphor material disposed within the optically transparent body and spaced away from the LED, which is not cited in the claims of Pub. '895.  Figure 5 of McNulty shows the phosphor material (26) disposed within the optically transparent body and spaced away from the LED (20).	
Claim 9	Claim 1	Fig. 5	Claim 9 of the instant application cites the phosphor material disposed on the optically transparent body and the non-planar flexible multilayer reflector disposed on the phosphor material, which is not cited in the claims of Pub. '895.  Figure 5 of McNulty shows the phosphor material (26) disposed on the optically transparent body (30) and the non-planar flexible multilayer reflector (70) disposed on the phosphor material.	

**Art Unit: 2879** 

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record neither shows or suggests a light source comprising, in addition to other limitations of the claim, phosphor material disposed on an optically transparent body, the phosphor material having a first thickness or first density that is greater at a first angle normal to the excitation light than a second thickness or second density at a second angle non-normal to the excitation light.

Art Unit: 2879

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Quarterman Examiner

Art Unit 2879

20 August 2005

Joseph Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879

prophilllia